## **Amendments to the Drawings:**

The attached sheet of drawings includes changes to Fig. 1. This sheet, which includes Fig. 1, replaces the original sheet including Fig. 1.

Attachment:

Replacement Sheet

## **Remarks**

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the claims of the application as amended above to place them in condition for allowance.

Applicant notes with appreciation the Examiner's indication that claim 21 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, set forth in the Office Action and that claims 2-3 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form, including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Claim Objections

As part of the amendment to claim 20, the previous recitation in claim 2 at line 4 has been amended to refer to -- portions on each of the plurality of -- instead of referring to "portions of each of the plurality."

Claim 2 is cancelled.

Claim 22 is objected to because no claim 21 was previously submitted. The numbering of claim 22 has been changed to claim 21.

The limitations of claim 2 added to claim 20 deleted the reference to -- a plurality of transversely extending bows -- in line 3 and instead refer to "a plurality of bows" in line 4 to provide proper antecedent basis for the plurality of bows that are made up of the end portions and central portions as claimed.

Claim 21 has been amended to delete the reference to -- all of the rails -- in lines 3 and 4 and instead now recites "a plurality of rails." Similarly, the recitation of -- all of the links-in line 4 has been deleted and instead the limitation is phrased as "a plurality of links" to provide proper antecedent basis for all of the limitations in the claims.

## **Drawing Amendment**

The drawings have been amended to show the central portions of the plurality of bows and also show the end portions of the bows. Figure 1 as previously submitted illustrated the end portions that have now been identified by reference numeral 53 and the central portions that are now identified in Figure by reference numeral 55. The text of the specification has been

Atty Dkt No. CTSF 0151 PUSA

S/N: 10/598,382

Reply to Office Action of July 28, 2009

amended by copying the description of the end portions and central portions from the Summary

of the Invention section to the Detailed Description of the Illustrated Embodiment and reference

numerals have been added to correlate to the reference numerals added to Figure 1 on the

attached replacement sheets.

It is respectfully submitted that no new matter has been added by the amendment

to the drawings or the specification since the text of the specification amendment was merely

copied from the Summary of the Invention section and the drawings previously illustrated the end

portions and central portions of the plurality of bows with the only addition required being the

addition of reference numerals to clarify the disclosure without adding new matter.

Applicant has amended this application to place the claims in condition for

allowance. The Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone Applicant's attorney if it would

advance the prosecution of this case. The Examiner is respectfully requested to pass this case to

issue.

Please charge any fees or credit any overpayments as a result of the filing of this

paper to our Deposit Account No. 02-3978.

Respectfully submitted,

**JAN JUST** 

Reg. No. 29,805

Attorney/Agent for Applicant

Date: 9/28/09

**BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.** 

1000 Town Center, 22nd Floor Southfield, MI 48075-1238

Phone: 248-358-4400

Fax: 248-358-3351

-10-